

REALIZATIONS OF μ - τ INTERCHANGE SYMMETRY

W. GRIMUS*

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Vienna
Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria*

**E-mail: walter.grimus@univie.ac.at*

Some models for the lepton sector, based on seesaw extensions of the Standard Model, are discussed in which the μ - τ interchange symmetry is realized in various ways. The symmetries defining such models and their characteristic predictions for lepton mixing are presented.

Keywords: Neutrino mass matrices; Lepton mixing; μ - τ (anti)symmetry.

1. Mass matrices with μ - τ interchange symmetry

At present, all data from neutrino oscillation measurements are compatible with the lepton mixing angles¹

$$\theta_{23} = 45^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{13} = 0^\circ. \quad (1)$$

A neutrino mass matrix with these properties, in the basis in which the charged-lepton mass matrix M_ℓ is diagonal, exhibits a μ - τ (interchange) symmetry—for early papers on this issue see Refs. 2, 3. Assuming that the lepton flavours α are ordered in the usual way with $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$, the μ - τ symmetry for a matrix $M^{(S)}$ is formulated as⁴

$$TM^{(S)}T = M^{(S)} \Rightarrow M^{(S)} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & y \\ y & z & w \\ y & w & z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where T is a permutation matrix, performing the flavour exchange $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$. If $M^{(S)}$ is conceived as a Majorana neutrino mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_\nu$, it is easy to see that it predicts the mixing angles of Eq. (1). There are no further predictions of $M^{(S)}$.³

With μ - τ antisymmetry^{5,6}, one obtains

$$TM^{(AS)}T = -M^{(AS)} \Rightarrow M^{(AS)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & -p \\ p & q & 0 \\ -p & 0 & -q \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Assuming $\mathcal{M}_\nu = M^{(AS)}$, this matrix gives⁶

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_{23} = 45^\circ, \quad m_1 = m_2, \quad m_3 = 0. \quad (4)$$

We gather from this result that $M^{(AS)}$ is not suitable as a neutrino mass matrix, however, its predictions are not excessively far from reality, if we assume θ_{13} to be sufficiently small.

2. $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ versus $\mathcal{M}_\nu^{-1}$

- (1) Denoting the diagonalizing matrix of $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ by U and assuming $\det \mathcal{M}_\nu \neq 0$, we observe the relationship

$$U^T \mathcal{M}_\nu U = \hat{m} \equiv \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) \Leftrightarrow U^\dagger (\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1} U^* = (\hat{m})^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

- (2) Next, we assume the validity of the seesaw mechanism: $\mathcal{M}_\nu = -M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D$ with the neutrino Dirac-mass matrix M_D and the mass matrix M_R of the right-handed neutrino singlet fields ν_R whose mass Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_M(\nu_R) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_R^T C^{-1} M_R^* \nu_R + \text{H.c.} \quad (6)$$

If M_ℓ is diagonal and M_D has the form $M_D = \text{diag}(a, b, b)$, then μ - τ symmetry (antisymmetry) of $(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1}$ is equivalent to μ - τ symmetry (antisymmetry) of M_R .

To impose μ - τ symmetry on M_R we simply have to require invariance of $\mathcal{L}_M(\nu_R)$ under $\nu_R \rightarrow T\nu_R$; for μ - τ antisymmetry the transformation is $\nu_R \rightarrow iT\nu_R$.

With the assumptions of Item (2) it makes sense to impose conditions on the inverse mass matrix^{3,7} instead of on the mass matrix itself. Obviously, $(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1}$ can be decomposed as

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1} = M^{(S)} + M^{(AS)}. \quad (7)$$

This rather trivial observation is the point of departure for the following discussion where we will present several models which realize the μ - τ interchange symmetry within seesaw extensions of the Standard Model (SM).

3. The framework

We consider the lepton sector of the SM, enlarge the scalar sector to three Higgs doublets ϕ_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and add three right-handed neutrino singlets $\nu_{\alpha R}$ for the purpose of the seesaw mechanism. The left-handed lepton doublets are denoted by $D_{\alpha L}$ and the right-handed charged-lepton singlets by $\ell_{\alpha R} \equiv \alpha_R$. We impose the following symmetries:

- (1) The groups $U(1)_{L_\alpha}$ ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$) associated with the family lepton numbers L_α , or, alternatively, $D_L \rightarrow \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)D_L$ and, analogously, for ℓ_R and ν_R , with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, corresponding to the symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- (2) The symmetry transformation $D_L \rightarrow i^k T D_L$, $\ell_R \rightarrow i^k T \ell_R$, $\nu_R \rightarrow i^k T \nu_R$, $\phi_3 \rightarrow -\phi_3$, which either corresponds to the μ - τ symmetry for $k = 0$ or to the μ - τ antisymmetry for $k = 1$.
- (3) An auxiliary symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(\text{aux})}$ defined by the sign change of the fields $\nu_{\alpha R}$ ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$), ϕ_1 , e_R .

It is easy to check that the most general Yukawa Lagrangian compatible with these symmetries is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y(\phi) = & -y_1 \bar{D}_{eL} \nu_{eR} \tilde{\phi}_1 - y_2 (\bar{D}_{\mu L} \nu_{\mu R} + \bar{D}_{\tau L} \nu_{\tau R}) \tilde{\phi}_1 \\ & -y_3 \bar{D}_{eL} e_R \phi_1 - y_4 (\bar{D}_{\mu L} \mu_R + \bar{D}_{\tau L} \tau_R) \phi_2 \\ & -y_5 (\bar{D}_{\mu L} \mu_R - \bar{D}_{\tau L} \tau_R) \phi_3 + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that the symmetries of Item (1) enforce diagonal Yukawa couplings, Item (2) provides the μ - τ -symmetric structure of the couplings, and Item (3) makes sure that ϕ_3 does not couple to ν_R ; the latter point is important for supplying the μ - τ -symmetric form $M_D = \text{diag}(a, b, b)$ of the neutrino Dirac-mass matrix.

The μ - τ (anti)symmetry is spontaneously broken by the VEV of ϕ_3 , which allows for $m_\mu \neq m_\tau$.

4. A model based on $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\text{aux})}$

The model presented in this section⁸ is based on the group S_3 . We have the following representations: $D_L, \ell_R, \nu_R \in \underline{1} \oplus \underline{2}$, $\phi_{1,2} \in \underline{1}$, $\phi_3 \in \underline{1}'$. We add a complex scalar χ such that $(\chi, \chi^*) \in \underline{2}$. The connexion of S_3 with the symmetries of the previous section is obtained via

$$\underline{2}: \begin{cases} (12) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (123) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $(12), (123) \in S_3$. The cyclic permutation represents the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry of Item (1), whereas the transposition (12) is mapped into the μ - τ symmetry of Item (2). The trivial one-dimensional representation is denoted by $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{1}'$ is given by $(12) \rightarrow -1$, $(123) \rightarrow 1$.

Apart from the Lagrangian (8), the symmetries allow Yukawa couplings of the singlet scalar, described by the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y(\chi) = & y_\chi^* \nu_{eR}^T C^{-1} (\nu_{\mu R} \chi^* + \nu_{\tau R} \chi) + \\ & \frac{1}{2} z_\chi^* (\nu_{\mu R}^T C^{-1} \nu_{\mu R} \chi + \nu_{\tau R}^T C^{-1} \nu_{\tau R} \chi^*) + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and a ν_R mass term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = & \frac{1}{2} m^* \nu_{eR}^T C^{-1} \nu_{eR} + m'^* \nu_{\mu R}^T C^{-1} \nu_{\tau R} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We assume the VEV of χ and m, m' to be of the order of the seesaw scale.

Table 1. The four scalar multiplets with respect to the family symmetries of the models of Sec. 5.

Case	χ	L_e	L_μ	L_τ	μ - τ antisymm.	$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1}$
(1)	χ_{ee}	-2	0	0	$\chi_{ee} \rightarrow -\chi_{ee}$	$(ee) \neq 0$
(2)	$\chi_{\mu\tau}$	0	-1	-1	$\chi_{\mu\tau} \rightarrow -\chi_{\mu\tau}$	$(\mu\tau) \neq 0$
(3)	$\chi_{e\mu}$ $\chi_{e\tau}$	-1 -1	-1 0	0 -1	$\chi_{e\mu} \leftrightarrow -\chi_{e\tau}$	$(e\mu) \neq -(\tau\tau)$
(4)	$\chi_{\mu\mu}$ $\chi_{\tau\tau}$	0 0	-2 0	0 -2	$\chi_{\mu\mu} \leftrightarrow -\chi_{\tau\tau}$	$(\mu\mu) \neq -(\tau\tau)$

This model yields the inverse neutrino mass matrix⁸

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & y \\ y & u e^{i\psi} & w \\ y & w & u e^{-i\psi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

With the decomposition (7) and Eqs. (2) and (3), we find $z = u \cos \psi$, $q = i u \sin \psi$, $p = 0$. For $\psi = 0$ or π , Eq. (12) yields a μ - τ -symmetric neutrino mass matrix. If $\psi \neq 0$, μ - τ symmetry is partially broken, such that the matrix of absolute values in $(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1}$ is still μ - τ -symmetric. Which case is realized, depends on the type of symmetry breaking of S_3 : If it is broken spontaneously, then $\psi = 0$ or π ; if, in addition, its $\mathbb{Z}_3$ subgroup is broken softly via terms of dimension one and two in the scalar potential, $\sin \psi$ is non-zero. In the latter case, there are correlated deviations from Eq. (1), approximately given by⁸

$$\cos 2\theta_{23} \simeq -2 c_{12} s_{12} \quad (13)$$

$$\times \frac{\Delta m_\odot^2}{c_{12}^2 m_1^2 + s_{12}^2 m_2^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2 / m_3^2} s_{13} \cos \delta,$$

where $\Delta m_\odot^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2$ is the solar mass-squared difference and δ is the CKM-type phase in U . For an inverted neutrino mass spectrum, θ_{23} is still maximal for all practical purposes. For a normal spectrum, possible deviations of θ_{23} from 45° are most pronounced in the hierarchical case, namely $\cos 2\theta_{23} \sim -3 s_{13} \cos \delta$.

5. A class of models based on μ - τ antisymmetry

Here we discuss a class of models based on conserved lepton numbers and μ - τ antisymmetry—see Sec. 3, Items (1) and (2). Since a μ - τ -antisymmetric M_R is singular, we add complex scalar gauge singlets which carry lepton numbers. Such scalars have the general Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_Y(\chi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} z_{\alpha\beta}^* \nu_{\alpha R}^T C^{-1} \nu_{\beta R} \chi_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.} \quad (14)$$

In Table 1 we have listed the four basic cases of scalar singlets compatible with the family symmetries. Their VEVs make M_R non-singular and induce a μ - τ -symmetric contribution in $(\mathcal{M}_\nu)^{-1}$ —cf. Eq. (7)—as shown in the last column of Table 1.

Combining $M^{(\text{AS})}$ with one or two of the cases in Table 1 for the construction of M_R leads to ten models—see Table 2. Of these models, only five are compatible with the data, as indicated in this table. Each of the five viable models has six physical parameters in $\mathcal{M}_\nu$. Models (1)–(4) (four parameters in $\mathcal{M}_\nu$) and model (10) (five parameters in $\mathcal{M}_\nu$) are ruled out; properties of these models which lead to contradiction with the data are found in the last column of Table 2. For the viable models, the preferred or predicted neutrino mass spectrum is indicated in that column.

Let us make some comments on the mod-

Table 2. The models which can be constructed by using one or two of the scalar multiplets of Table 1. A cross (tick) indicates that the model is ruled out (allowed) by the data. The numbers (1)–(4) refer to the cases in Table 1.

Case	Validity	Comment
(1):	–	$\mathbf{x}$ $s_{13}^2 > 0.1$
(2):	–	$\mathbf{x}$ $s_{13} \tan 2\theta_{12} > 1$
(3):	–	$\mathbf{x}$ $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 / \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 > 1$
(4):	–	$\mathbf{x}$ $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 / \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 > 1$
(5): (1)+(2)	✓	any spectrum
(6): (1)+(3)	✓	normal preferred
(7): (1)+(4)	✓	any spectrum
(8): (2)+(3)	✓	inverted spec.
(9): (2)+(4)	✓	inverted spec.
(10): (3)+(4)	$\mathbf{x}$	$\Delta m_{\odot}^2 / \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 > 1$

els of this section. Whenever $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{-1})_{ee} = (\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{-1})_{\mu\tau} = 0$, then $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 / \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 > 1$, where $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 = |m_3^2 - m_1^2|$ is the atmospheric mass-squared difference. This is the case for models (3), (4), (10) and the reason why they are ruled out. If $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{-1})_{ee} = 0$, then only the inverted neutrino mass spectrum is possible. Among the allowed models, this applies to (8) and (9). Finally we want to mention that model (8) is the most predictive one; e.g., a slight deviation of $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ from one leads to a large s_{13}^2 , which in practice gives the lower bound $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} > 0.99$. For further details see Ref. 6.

6. Conclusions

In this report we have combined a μ – τ interchange symmetry with family symmetries which give diagonal Yukawa couplings in order to obtain a predictive neutrino mass matrix. We have considered extensions of the SM which have three Higgs doublets and right-handed neutrino singlets for the seesaw mechanism. Other important ingredients are scalar gauge singlets which induce, upon acquiring VEVs, contributions to M_R . With diagonal Yukawa couplings, lepton mixing stems solely from a non-diagonal M_R and conditions on M_R are translated into conditions on $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu})^{-1}$. While exact μ – τ symme-

try in $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ or $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu})^{-1}$ leads to Eq. (1), deviations from exact μ – τ symmetry can lead to interesting correlations between atmospheric mixing and θ_{13} . Though exact μ – τ antisymmetry in $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$ or $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu})^{-1}$ is not viable, it is nevertheless a useful concept, in combination with the above-mentioned scalar singlets, for producing predictive models.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to L. Lavoura and B. Strohmaier for a critical reading of the manuscript.

References

1. See, e.g., M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. Tórtola and J.W.F. Valle, *New J. Phys.* **6**, 122 (2004); G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **57**, 742 (2006).
2. T. Fukuyama and H. Nishiura, hep-ph/9702253, in Proc. of *International Workshop on Masses and Mixings of Quarks and Leptons*, ed. Y. Koide (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998); R.N. Mohapatra and S. Nussinov, *Phys. Rev.* **D60**, 013002 (1999); E. Ma and M. Raidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 011802 (2001) [*Err. ibid.* **87**, 159901 (2001)]; C.S. Lam, *Phys. Lett.* **B507**, 214 (2001); K.R.S. Balaji, W. Grimus and T. Schwetz, *Phys. Lett.* **B508**, 301 (2001); E. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 117301 (2002); P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, *Phys. Lett.* **B547**, 219 (2002).
3. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *JHEP* **07**, 045 (2001); W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B32**, 3719 (2001).
4. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *Acta. Phys. Pol.* **B34**, 5393 (2003).
5. T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, *Phys. Lett.* **B621**, 133 (2005); I. Aizawa, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, *Nucl. Phys.* **B728**, 220 (2005); T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasuè, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 015002 (2006).
6. W. Grimus, S. Kaneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, *JHEP* **01**, 110 (2006).
7. L. Lavoura, *Phys. Lett.* **B609**, 317 (2005).
8. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *JHEP* **08**, 013 (2005).